REMARKS

Claims 1-28 are pending in the present application. Claims 1-28 have been examined, claims 1-4, 9, 12-17, 19-24 and 26-28 are rejected, and claims 5-8, 10, 11, 18 and 25 are allowed. In the above amendments, claims 1, 14, 19, 22, 23, 26 and 27 have been amended. Applicant believes that the present application is now in condition for allowance, which prompt and favorable action is respectfully requested.

Rejection of Claims 1-4, 9, 12-17 and 19-22 Under 35 U.S.C. §102(e)

Claims 1-4, 9, 12-17 and 19-22 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0116140 to Babbar *et al* ("Babbar").

A claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference. *Verdegaal Brothers v. Union Oil Co. of California*, 2 USPQ2d 1051, 1053 (Fed. Cir. 1987). The identical invention must be shown in as complete detail as is contained in the claim. *Richardson v. Suzuki Motor Co.*, 9 USPQ2d 1913, 1920 (Fed. Cir. 1989).

Applicant submits that Babbar does not and cannot anticipate under 35 U.S.C. § 102 the presently claimed invention of independent claim 1 with claims 2-4, 9, 12, 13 depending therefrom, independent claim 14 with claims 15-17 depending therefrom, independent claim 19 with claims 20, 21 depending therefrom, and independent claim 22 because Babbar does not describe, either expressly or inherently, the identical inventions in as complete detail as are contained in the claims.

Applicant respectfully disagrees that Babbar anticipates Applicant's invention as claimed in amended independent claims 1, 14, 19 and 22 which read, in part:

> 1. A wireless device comprising:
> a first module operable to process ... in accordance with parameters of the first broadcast message;
> a second module operable to process ... in accordance with parameters of the second broadcast message, the first and second broadcast messages having different formats; and
> a third module operable to receive and map the parameters of the first and second broadcast messages to corresponding parameters in a set of parameters defined for

broadcast services, *wherein the set of parameters is a composite set of parameters of the first and second broadcast messages*. (Emphasis added.)

14. A method of receiving broadcast services from a plurality of wireless communication systems, comprising:

processing a first broadcast message … in accordance with parameters of the first broadcast message;

processing a second broadcast message … in accordance with parameters of the second broadcast message, the first and second broadcast messages having different formats; and

receiving and mapping the parameters of the first and second broadcast messages to corresponding parameters in a set of parameters defined for broadcast services, *wherein the set of parameters is a composite set of parameters of the first and second broadcast messages*. (Emphasis added.)

19. An apparatus comprising:

means for processing a first broadcast message … in accordance with parameters of the first broadcast message;

means for processing a second broadcast message … in accordance with parameters of the second broadcast message, the first and second broadcast messages having different formats; and

means for receiving and mapping the parameters of the first and second broadcast messages to corresponding parameters in a set of parameters defined for broadcast services, *wherein the set of parameters is a composite set of parameters of the first and second broadcast messages*. (Emphasis added.)

22. A processor readable media for storing instructions in a wireless device, comprising:

a module to process a first broadcast message … in accordance with parameters of the first broadcast message;

a module to process a second broadcast message … in accordance with parameters of the second broadcast message, the first and second broadcast messages having different formats; and

a module to receive and map the parameters of the first and second broadcast messages to corresponding parameters in a set of parameters defined for broadcast services, *wherein the set of parameters is a composite set of parameters of the first and second broadcast messages*. (Emphasis added.)

In contrast to Applicant's invention as claimed, Babbar generally discloses *transformation* of first and second signals (212, 218 of Babbar's Fig. 2) by first and second modules (214, 220 of Babbar's Fig. 2) such that signals arriving at a third module (222 of Babbar's Fig. 2) are transformed and different from the first and second signals (212, 218 of Babbar's Fig. 2) received at the first and second modules (214, 220 of Babbar's Fig. 2).

Accordingly, Babbar's third module (222 of Babbar's Fig. 2) would be **unable** to perform "map[ping] the parameters of the first and second broadcast messages to corresponding parameters in a set of parameters defined for broadcast services, *wherein the set of parameters is a composite set of parameters of the first and second broadcast messages*", as claimed by Applicant, since "the parameters of the first and second broadcast messages" never arrive at Babbar's "third module" and Babbar is silent regarding "the set of parameters is a composite set of parameters of the first and second broadcast messages". Specifically, Babbar discloses:

> ... use device-related interface module (DRIF) 214, 220 to adapt to an ISDN-compatible format, signal 212 from managed device 210, and signal 218 from managed device 216. (Babbar, para. [0074], lines 5-8).
> DRIF module #1 214 performs the desired physical and logical transformations on signal 212 for use by MCM 222 .... (Babbar, para. [0078], lines 5-7).

Clearly, Babbar discloses transformation in first and second modules of first and second input signals, however, the un-transformed signals are not received at the third module to allow the third module to perform any mapping of the parameters of the first and second signals and a composite set of the first and second broadcast messages is not disclosed. Furthermore, Babbar does not disclose "*the set of parameters is a composite set of parameters of the first and second broadcast messages*" as claimed by Applicant. Therefore, since Babbar does not describe Applicant's invention, as presently claimed in amended independent claims 1, 14, 19 and 22, either expressly or inherently, in as complete detail as contained in Applicant's claims, Babbar cannot anticipate under 35 U.S.C. § 102 the presently claimed invention of independent claims 1, 14, 19 and 22, and claims 2-4, 9, 12, 13, 15-17, 20, 21 depending therefrom. Accordingly, such claims are allowable over the cited prior art and Applicant respectfully requests that such rejections be withdrawn.

Rejection of Claims 23, 24 and 26-28 Under 35 U.S.C. §102(b)

Claims 23, 24 and 26-28 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,675,022 to Burgan *et al* ("Burgan").

A claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference. *Verdegaal Brothers v.*

Union Oil Co. of California, 2 USPQ2d 1051, 1053 (Fed. Cir. 1987). The identical invention must be shown in as complete detail as is contained in the claim. *Richardson v. Suzuki Motor Co.*, 9 USPQ2d 1913, 1920 (Fed. Cir. 1989).

Applicant submits that Burgan does not and cannot anticipate under 35 U.S.C. § 102 the presently claimed invention of independent claim 23 with claims 24, 26 depending therefrom, and independent claim 27 with claim 28 depending therefrom, because Burgan does not describe, either expressly or inherently, the identical inventions in as complete detail as are contained in the claims.

Applicant respectfully disagrees that Burgan anticipates Applicant's invention as claimed in amended independent claims 23 and 27 which read, in part:

> 23. A method of receiving broadcast services in a wireless communication system, comprising:
> defining a composite set of broadcast message parameters including at least a first set and a second set of parameters corresponding to first and second broadcast services;
> respectively associating the first set and the second set with first and second active time periods indicative of when broadcast messages for the first and second broadcast services are to be received; and
> receiving broadcast messages from at least one of the first and second broadcast services in the respective active time period.
>
> 27. A wireless device in a wireless communication system, comprising:
> a controller operative to store a composite set of broadcast service parameters of at least two broadcast services supported by the system and an active time period indicative of when broadcast messages for each of the two broadcast services in the set are to be received, the active time period being user selectable; and
> a processing unit operative to process broadcast messages for the two broadcast services in the set during the active time period.

Applicant submits that claims 23 and 27 are not anticipated by Burgan for at least the following reason. Burgan does not disclose "a composite set of broadcast message parameters" of either "first and second broadcast services" (claim 23) or "at least two broadcast services" (claim 27).

For at least the above reasons, Applicant submits that amended independent claims 23 and 27 are not anticipated by Burgan. Claims 24 and 26 are dependent on claim 23 and are also not anticipated by Burgan for at least the reason noted for base claim 23.

Independent claim 27 also recites the feature noted above. Claim 28 is dependent on claim 27. Claims 27 and 28 are not anticipated by Burgan for at least the reason noted for claim 23.

Accordingly, the rejection of claims 23, 24 and 26-28 should be withdrawn.

Allowable Claims Rejection of Claims 5-8, 10, 11, 18 and 25

Claims 5-8, 10, 11, 18 and 25 are allowable. Applicant acknowledges this indication with appreciation.

CONCLUSION

In light of the amendments contained herein, Applicant submits that the application is in condition for allowance, for which early action is requested.

Please charge any fees or overpayments that may be due with this response to Deposit Account No. 17-0026.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: April 14, 2008   By: /Roberta A. Young, No. 53,818/
                                                  Roberta A. Young, Reg. No. 53,813
                                                  (858) 658-5803

QUALCOMM Incorporated
5775 Morehouse Drive
San Diego, California 92121
Telephone: (858) 658-5787
Facsimile: (858) 658-2502